Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R07/2000)

Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supple	emental			
LRB Number 01-2476/2	Introduction Number AB-679				
Subject					
Authorize UW to conduct research on, and requir	re UW to study, industrial hemp				
Fiscal Effect					
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Indeterminate Increase Costs Indeterminate Increase Costs Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Revenues Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase Costs Increase Revenue Increase					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DATCP/ Melody Walker (608) 224-4586	Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	1/4/02			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 1/7/02

.RB Number 01-2476/2	Introduction Number	AB-679	Estimate Type	Original	
Subject					
Authorize UW to conduct research on, and require UW to study, industrial hemp					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill requires the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection to promulgate rules in consultation with the attorney general. The rules will address notification of local law enforcement agencies of the industrial hemp field locations, and the grower's name and address; inspection of industrial hemp fields, and laboratory testing to insure that the tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) concentration is below the regulation level; and methods for obtaining and monitoring the use and transfer of industrial hemp seeds. The amount of staff time to develop the rules would be .25 FTE.

If these rules are implemented, assuming the growers would register with the department, there would be a one time fee of \$10,000 to set up an e-notification system to notify 50-100 local law enforcement authorities. The Department of Justice would provide the list of authorities to the Department. This system would require .5 FTE to maintain.

An inspector, following the appropriate protocol, would collect samples and submit them to the laboratory for analysis of THC content. Canada has developed methods for field sampling and laboratory testing of THC. In Canada, each separate plot requires a separate sample. Inspection and delivery would take 1 FTE if we assume 250 growers and 2 fields per grower. At 250 growers with 2 fields, there would be one composite sample taken from a minimum of 30 plants in each field. This adds up to 500 samples for lab analysis. Presently, only one laboratory in the U.S. has the approval of the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency to conduct analysis for the THC content of industrial hemp. Sample analysis costs about \$150 (2001) for one sample. [Health Canada, "Industrial Hemp Technical Manual: Standard Operating Procedures for Sampling, Testing and Processing Methodology," 28pp. www.hempfood.com/techmanual.html.] This puts the total expense of lab analysis for one year at \$75,000.

The Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection laboratory does not have the available space, ventilation, or electrical capacity to add this testing. Construction costs on a new laboratory facility to house a THC testing unit, plus current testing programs, would require a capital outlay of approximately fourteen million dollars.

If the testing were performed at the Department laboratory there would be additional resource costs . There would be a need for an initial outlay of approximately \$300,000 in testing equipment. This testing equipment would have a limited life and need to be replaced in seven years. It also is likely that only specially trained staff holding special Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) licenses would be allowed to do this type of testing. The addition of 1.0 FTE Laboratory Technician and 1.0 FTE Chemists would require \$71,000 annually. Laboratory supply costs for the testing would be \$4,000 per year.

Assumptions:

50-100 local law enforcement authorities
250 growers
500 samples sent to a lab
1.0 FTE for inspection and sampling - \$61,400 salary and fringe; \$14,800 support costs
2.0 FTE laboratory staff - \$71,000 salary and fringe; \$4,000 laboratory supplies
\$75,000 laboratory analysis (PRS)

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Replacement	of laborators	testina /	equipment in	7 vear	s would be	\$300,000

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original	Updated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 01-2476 /2	2	Introduction Numb	oer AB-679	
Subject				
Authorize UW to conduct research	n on, and require	UW to study, industrial her	np	
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Ir	npacts for State	and/or Local Governmen	t (do not include in	
annualized fiscal effect):				
Set up an email notification syster salary & fringe.	n for local law er	nforcement - \$10,000 .25 FT	E for rule writing; \$10,300	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and	Fringes	\$132,400		
(FTE Position Changes)		(2.5 FTE)	·	
State Operations - Other Costs		93,800		
Local Assistance		• •		
Aids to Individuals or Organizat	ions			
TOTAL State Costs by Cate	egory	\$226,200	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Fur	nds			
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS (226,200)		226,200		
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete t (e.g., tax increase, decrease in			ecrease state revenues	
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$	
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS (151,200)		151,200		
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$151,200	\$	
	NET ANNUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		
		<u>State</u>	Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$226,200	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$151,200	\$	
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized Signature	Date	
DATCP/ Melody Walker (608) 224	I-4586 Ba	Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746 1/4/02		